App. F IX-A

1926.

File No. 22 26

Previous References (MC)

Serial Nos. 412 58

Later References.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

Part B for March 27

SUBJECT

Cycle allowance to the executive Subordinates of the Municipality of Bangalore

58 To. The Municipal Commr. Bangalore the Comptroller to Govt No C 6385-6/26 dt 10 3 27 States that Govt see no objection to the Municipal Council of B'lore Continuing the rates of allowance now in force but it would be better to designate it as Conveyance allowance

30.3.27

WD 0.229—GPE—37,531—1-6-26
App. F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Pol File No. 22 of 1926

Serial No.	
41	Memo No. 140 G 19-1 27 from the Rural Com, Bangalore City. Submits for sanction a copy of the Rural Council resolution granting cycle allowance of Rs. 5 each to Sub-Inspectors of the Rural Circle who have been drawing from a long time emoluments of Rs. 7-8-0 as against Rs. 5 Rs. In the case of Sub-Inspectors of the Bangalore City Improvement Trust, who were drawing a conveyance allowance of Rs. 15/- a month for maintaining a cycle, the same rate of Rs. 15/- a month was allowed to them as a Special case. (Vide Pol 25-25 Ss 49, 50, 97 and Pol 29-25 Ss 60 & 76 pertaining) The City Municipal Sub-Inspectors of the City, who have been drawing an allowance of Rs. 10/- for maintaining a cycle, have for a long time not been allowed the same rate as was done in the case of Sub-Inspectors of the City Improvement Trust Board of the City. 3 Submitted for orders Government grant of cycle allowance to the Sub-Inspectors of the Rural Circle, Bangalore in accordance with the provisions contained in Pol 29-25 [illegible]

Jd Ql

Contin. Sheet

WD 01229 –GPE –37,500—1-9-26
App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 192

Serial No.

If the first Member was of opinion that Municipalities should have some latitude in fixing the allowance & the rate should be interfered with unless it is extravagant. The Secretary agrees with this view but states that it may not be desirable to have a higher rate than Rs 8 as in first Municipality in Cycle allowance Rs 10/- may perhaps considered too far. It is therefore for consideration whether the Municipal allowance within Rs 8 for Cycle should be fixed at Rs 8 or may be Rs 10/-.

S Chelapathy) 7/2.

The cycle allowance in the Municipalities are not fixed strictly with reference to the cost of maintenance of cycles the size of the town & the distance covered by the employees are taken into consideration to some extent the allowance partakes of the character of a duty allowance also. In the circumstances the existing practice in the Municipality need not be interfered with. 9 items

7 (Four members)

Sd 5/2 9/2

I agree but the rate may be Rs 10 Rs 8 in Municipalities & Rs 10 in Municipal Towns

Rs 11/-

Sd 7/2

—Contin. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 22 of 1926

Serial No 2580-21 8

6-2

FINANCIAL SECRET
16 FEB 1927
No 2576

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT.
17 FEB 1927

10 Hrs will lead to a similar clr from Govt departments which could not be resisted The arguments re-distance between Hd qrs and PWD would apply in their case also we may perhaps ascertain from the Comptroller how many offrs in the Cal are in receipt of a Cycle allce and what the enhancement to Ic Rs would cost Govt In the present state of communications each little addition would further upset the position

B 16/2

Fwd pls)

11 I agree to the Chief Engrs proposal, ~~with the appended memorandum~~ as necessary I would however suggest the cycle allowance being styled as a conveyance allowance it being left to the Hd of the office what kind of conveyance shd be maintained by an executive subordinate in the circumstances of a case. This may be pointed out to the Hd

k 16/2

12 Chf Engr)

13 Put up reply as above

MM 20/2

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No [illegible] of 1926

Serial No.	
	14 Draft to Bihar for appl [illegible]
	15 [illegible]
	16 Clean copy of Secretariat received [illegible]
	17 A clean draft to Bihar. [illegible]
	18 [illegible]
	19 Issued [illegible]
58	G.O No. L6395-69 to 8-29 to the Local Govt Municipal & Cooperative Stating that Govt are of opinion that the Local Council of Bihar continuing the grant of allowance but in future that it should be limited to Rs 5 per mensem in the case of Chairmen

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

No 42282
S 10140(Sec 1)
21 1 27

Office of the City Municipal Council
Bangalore City Dated 18th January 1927

3246

From

T Govinda Rao, Esq,
Offg Municipal Commissioner Municipal Council
Bangalore City

GEN REV SECTT ER 22 JAN 1927 SECTION

To

C Ranganatha Rao Saheb, Esq, B A, B L,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Departments,
Bangalore

22-26-41

Sir,

I have the honour to submit herewith a copy of the resolution of the Municipal Council dated - 13th December 1926 recommending that the cycle - allowance of the Executive subordinates of the Municipality be fixed at Rs 10/- instead of Rs 7-8-0 as specified in the Mysore Service Regulation and to state as follows -

The executive subordinates of this Municipality who are maintaining cycles are, from a very long time drawing an allowance of Rs 10/- per mensem The - maximum cycle allowance admissible under Appendix G Mysore Service Regulation is only Rs 7-8-0 Hence the local auditors have been raising objection to pass the allowance of Rs 10/- per mensem The matter was - therefore placed before the Council, who, taking into consideration the long distances the subordinates had to cover in this City and the consequent rapid waar an tear of the cycle have resolved that the rate of cycle allowance to the staff might be fixed at Rs 10/- instead of Rs 7-8-0

2. In the circumstances, I request you to be so good as to obtain the early sanction of Government for fixing

10

fixing the cycle allowance of Municipal executive subordinates at Rs 10/- per mensem

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Offg Municipal Commissioner

Forwarded with compliments

Honorary President

DhR 15

Proceedings of an adjourned Council Meeting of the City Municipal Council, Bangalore, held on Monday the 13th December 1926 at 5 P M in the Council Chamber

---

Present

Mohamed Abbas Khan,Esq.(Honorary President)

Councillors

1. W H Hanumanthappa,Esq,
2. H Bhadrappa,Esq,
3. S.M M Rasvi,Esq,
4. G Paramasiviah,Esq,B A ,LL B ,
5. M G Sanjeeviah,Esq,
6. G B.Raghavendrachar, sq,B ,
7. R Gopalaswami Iyer,Esq,
8. C.N Narasinga Rao,Esq,B A ,B L ,
9. S M Sitharama Rao,Esq,
10. C Hayavadana Rao,Esq,B A ,B L ,
11. S Venkatarangiengar,Esq,
12. N V Kalyanpur,Esq,
13. A Royan, Esq,
14. P M Basappa,Esq,
15. M C Chicknanjundappa

Absent

Dharma Prakasa B V Krishniengar,
G Muniyappa Esq,
Belur Srinivasiengar,Esq,B A ,B L ,
4. C M Hutchappa, Esq,
5. G Siddaveerappah,Esq,
B Abdur Rahoon,Esq,B A ,
B.L Byanna,Esq,
8. M Ramachandra Rao Sindia,Esq,
9. Rev W H Thorp,B A ,
10. P Murugesam Pillay,Esq,
11. P Subbaramachetty,Esq,
12. D Venkataramiah,Esq,B A L T
13. B N Vijayadeva,Esq,M A
14. V A Krishnamurthi Mudaliar, Esq, B A ,

---

28 To consider the Offg Municipal Commissioner's memo stating that the cycle allowance of the Executive Subordinates of the Municipality may be fixed at Rs 10/- the amount they are drawing from a very long time, instead of Rs 7-8-0 as specified in the Mysore Service Regulation

Resolution - Resolved unanimously that the cycle allowance of the executive subordinates of the Municipality be fixed at Rs 10/- instead of Rs 7-8-0 as specified in the Mysore Service Regulation

Sd/ - Mahomed Abbas Khan,
Honorary President

'Copy'

For Offg Municipal Commissioner

G.B.S VI G 34]

SUBJECT.....

Section 4
File No 22-26.
Serial No 56

Diary No of letter replied to 3246L

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

General and Revenue Secretariat

(೬೨೯)

, the 192

Date of issue 10-3-27

Signed by [illegible]

Read— Letter No 1408 dated 8th Jan from the Asst Commr Bangalore Submitting for sanction a copy of the resolution of the Asst Comm recommending that the cycle allowance of the Executive Subordinates of the Taluk be at Rs 1 of a month instead Rs 7-8 0 as sanctioned in M Order thereon No 6385-E

It is stated that the allowances in the Taluks are fixed strictly with regard to the cost of maintenance of cycles not

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

undertaken of the nature of an
Enquiry as to whether Local
Authorities are granted Post war re-
construction for the Local purposes of Borrowing the sum of £
for Capital Purposes, but it would be
better to designate it as Emergency
Legislation.

Yours faithfully,

Chief Engr

To- The Town Clerk, Borough of
The President, of the Local Council,
Bangalore

Compliments

Dy
28(4/47)

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1921—GPB—1,000,00—01-10-02